### DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2005 BUDGET ESTIMATES

(\$ in Thousands)

	(\$ III Thousanus)			
State/Installation/Project	Authorization <u>Request</u>	Approp. <u>Request</u>	New/ Current <u>Mission</u>	Page <u>No.</u>
California Travis Air Force Base Replace Hydrant Fuel System	15,100	15,100	C	37
Hawaii Pearl Harbor Multi-Product Interface Tank	3,500	3,500	C	40
North Carolina  Marine Corps Air Station Cherry Point Replace Hydrant Fuel System	22,700	22,700	С	43
Ohio  Defense Supply Center Columbus Replace Physical Fitness Facility	5,500	5,500	C	46
Oklahoma Tinker Air Force Base Add/Alter Hydrant Fuel System	5,400	5,400	C	49
Pennsylvania Defense Distribution Depot Susquehanna, New Cumberland Consolidated Maintenance Facility	22,300	22,300	С	52
Texas Naval Air Station Kingsville Replace Jet Fuel Storage Tank	3,900	3,900	С	55
Virginia  Defense Supply Center Richmond  Conference Center  Security Enhancements	3,600 6,500	3,600 6,500	C C	59 61
Naval Air Station Oceana Bulk Fuel Storage Tank	3,589	3,589	C	63

# DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE FY 2005 BUDGET ESTIMATES (\$ in Thousands)

State/Installation/Project	Authorization Request	Approp. Request	New/ Current <u>Mission</u>	Page <u>No.</u>
Japan				
Misawa Air Base				
Hydrant Fuel System	19,900	19,900	C	66
Portugal				
Lajes Field, Azores				
Replace Hydrant Fuel System	19,113	19,113	C	69
GRAND TOTAL	131,102	131,102		

1. COMPONENT		2. DATE										
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						FEB 04					
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	TION		4. COM	MAND					5. AREA CONSTRUCTION			
TRAVIS AIR FORCE B	ASE.			DEFE	NSE LOG	ISTICS	AGENC	Y	COST	COST INDEX 1.26		
CALIFORNIA												
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH Tenant of USAF	OFF	ERMANEN ENL	T CIV	OFF	STUDENT	S CIV	OFF	SUPPORTEI ENL	D CIV	TOTAL		
a. AS OF	011	ENE	CIT	011	BIVE	CIV	011	ENE	CIT			
b. END FY 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)												
A. TOTAL ACREAGE												
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET	IN INVE									16,000		
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUES AUTHORIZATION INCLU				GRAM						15,100		
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE			no i noc	310/11/1						5,000		
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC H. GRAND TOTAL	Y									5,260 41,360		
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T		RAM:								·		
CATEGORY PROJE CODE NUMB			PROJ	ECT TITL	<u>E</u>		COST (\$000)		ESIGN TART	STATUS COMPLETE		
121 DESCO		R	eplace Hy	drant Fue	l System		15,100	_	03/03	09/04		
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:												
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I CATEGORY	PROGRAN	1							(	COST		
<u>CODE</u>				PRO.	ECT TITLE					<u>6000)</u>		
None												
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y CATEGORY	/EARS			PD O					(	COST		
CODE				PRO.	ECT TITLE				<u>(\$</u>	6000)		
125		]	Replace Bu	ulk Fuel T	ransfer Pip	eline (FY	2008)		5	,000		
10 MICCION OF MAJOR FUNC	TION											
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC These fuel facilities provide		storage a	nd dis tri	bution sy	stems to s	upport th	e mission	s of assigne	ed units a	nd transient		
aircraft at Travis Air Force I	Base, Cal	ifornia.										
Deferred sustainment, restoration, and modernization for fuel facilities at this location is \$766,000.												
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTIO	N AND SA	FETY DEF	ICIENCIES	: :								
A. AIR POLLUTION						0						
B. WATER POLLUTION						0						
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFE	ETY AND	HEALTH				0						

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA					2. Date FEB 04	
3. Installation and Loca	tion		4. Projec	t Title			
TRAVIS AIR FOR	CE BASE, CALIF	ORNIA		REPI	ACE HYDRA	ANT FUEL SY	STEM
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	et Cost (\$0	00)		
702976S	121	DESC0507	15,100				
			STIMATES			,	
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIE	S			_	-	-	10,500
		G (12 OUTLETS)		LS	-	-	(2,500)
		,000 BARRELS)		LS	-	-	(5,500)
PUMP STATION AN	D SHELTER			LS	-	-	(2,500)
SUPPORTING FACIL	ITIES			-	-	-	3,040
		ENTS		LS	-	-	(750)
		LITIES		LS	-	-	(700)
				LS	-	-	(1,435)
GENERATOR AND I	ENCLOSURE			LS	-	-	(155)
SUBTOTAL				-	-	-	13,540
CONTINGENCY (5%)				-	-	-	<u>677</u>

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pump station and shelter, 12 hydrant fuel outlets, two 1,590-kiloliter (kL)(10,000-barrel) aboveground operating tanks, and fuel distribution system. Work includes cathodic protection system, leak detection, automatic tank gauging, fire detection, fire hydrants, utility and sewer connections, emergency generator, secondary containment systems, access pavements, and security lighting. Demolish existing pumphouse, three aboveground storage tanks, hydrant outlets, and related appurtenances.

**11.** REQUIREMENT: 33 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 21 OL SUBSTANDARD: 10 OL

PROJECT: Replace a failed hydrant fuel system with a modern pressurized fuel system. (C)

ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)......

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace a failed hydrant fuel system with a modern system to fuel C-5 and C-17 aircraft in accomplishing the Air Mobility Command's (AMC) airlift, airdrop, and air mobility missions from their largest aerial port of embarkation (APOE). This hydrant fuel system replaces a 26-year-old system that failed and is no longer in service. A hydrant fuel system is essential to fuel wide-bodied aircraft to enhance mission effectiveness in an environmentally safe manner. This system is particularly crucial due to the planned assignment of C-17 aircraft to Travis AFB in fiscal year 2005.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing hydrant fuel system failed in January 2002 due to numerous leaks in its aluminum piping and electrical and mechanical failures of the pumps and controls Obsolescence, coupled with extensive deterioration of piping, pumps, and control systems, makes any repair alternative infeasible. The use of refueler trucks to fuel wide-bodied aircraft has a significantly negative impact on labor and equipment and results in unacceptable delays in refueling aircraft to meet mission requirements.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, air base operations will continue to be hampered by delays in refueling wide-bodied aircraft. Reliance on refueler trucks will increase sortie turnaround times, exhaust equipment and workers, and create logistical bottlenecks during deployments and contingency operations from this APOE.

14.217

15.070

15,100

<u>85</u>3

4.0							
1. Component	-W. 4005 M.H. VII. A. D.V. CO.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TON DROVE		2. Date FEB 04		
DEFENSE (DLA)	DEFENSE (DLA) FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA						
3. Installation and Loca	3. Installation and Location:  4. Project Title						
TRAVIS AIR FORCE	E BASE, CALIFORNIA		RI	EPLACE HYDRAN	T FUEL SYSTEM		
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$6	000)		
702976S	121	D	DESC0507 15,100		15,100		
	nalysis of the status quo versus repl						
	rnative to accomplish the refueling						
	ncy, certifies that this facility has b			use potential. Miss	sion requirements, operational		
	cation are incompatible with use by	other con	nponents.				
<b>12. Supplemental Data:</b> A. Estimated Design I							
1. Status	Jaia:						
(a) Date Design	on Started:				03/03		
	c Cost Estimate Used to Develop C	osts (Yes/	No):	YES			
	ompleted as of January 2004:	(	1.0).	35			
* *	ercent Completed:				06/03		
	gn Complete:			09/04			
	esign Contract:			Design/Bio	d/Build		
2. Basis							
(a) Standard	or Definitive Design:				YES		
(b) Date Desi	gn was Most Recently Used:				09/02		
3. Total Cost (c)	= (a)+(b)  or  (d)+(e) (\$000)						
	n of Plans and Specifications				525		
	Design Costs				345		
(c) Total					870		
(d) Contract					0		
(e) In-House					870		
4. Contract Award					01/05		

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

02/05

02/07

5. Construction Start

6. Construction Completion

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE	
DEFENCE (DLA)		FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FEB 04								
DEFENSE (DLA)  3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION		4. COM	MAND					5. AREA	CONSTRUCTION
	TAT CIT	DDI X7		DEEDA	ISE LOC	TOTALOG	ACENC	<b>₹</b> 7	COST	INDEX
FLEET AND INDUSTR CENTER, PEARL HAR				DEFEN	ISE LOG	1811CS .	AGENC	Y	1.66	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	P	ERMANEN			STUDENT			SUPPORTE		TOTAL
Tenant of USN a. AS OF	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	_
b. END FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	OF									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET	IN INVE									
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUIE. AUTHORIZATION INCLU				ZD AM						3,500
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE			NG PROC	JKAWI						68,069
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	Y									
H. GRAND TOTAL  8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	піс врос	DAM.								71,569
CATEGORY PROJE	CT	INAIVI:	pp⊜i	ECT TITL	F		COST	D	ESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMB 411 DESCO			<u>1 ROJ</u> Iulti-Prodi				(\$000) 3,500		<u>TART</u> 01/03	<u>COMPLETE</u> 06/04
411 DESCO	402	N	Tutti-F10u	uct Interra	ce rank		3,300	,	J1/03	00/04
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I	DDOCD AN	л								
CATEGORY	PROGRAM	1		DD ()	CTTT C				(	COST
<u>CODE</u>				PROJ	ECT TITLE				<u>(\$</u>	<u>6000)</u>
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	/EARS									
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>				<u>PROJ</u>	ECT TITLE					COST 5000)
125					a Pipeline				13	3,069
411 411					ge Tanks (l ge Tanks (l					0,000
411		кер	lace rive	ruei Stora	ige Taliks (i	Phase 2) (F	1 2009)		23	5,000
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC										
These fuel facilities provide Hawaii, and the Pacific Flee		storage a	ind distrib	oution sys	stems to s	upport the	e mission	s of assigne	ed units a	t Pearl Harbor,
Trawair, and the racine rice	ι.									
Deferred sustainment, restor	ation, an	d modern	ization fo	r fuel fac	ilities at t	his locatio	on is \$27.	9 million.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SA	FETY DEF	CIENCIES	:						
A. AIR POLLUTION		0								
B. WATER POLLUTION						0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFE	ETY AND	HEALTH				0				
C. OCCOMMONAL DAL DI L'ALDII										

1. Component	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DAT					2. Date	
DEFENSE (DLA)	TT 2003 MILITART CONSTRUCTION TRO				DATA	F	EB 04
3. Installation and Locati	ion		4. Projec	rt Title			
27 2110/411442014414							
FLEET AND INDU	STRIAL SUPPLY	CENTER (FISC),		MULT	<b>I-PRODUCT</b>	INTERFACE	E TANK
PEARL HARBOR,	HAWAII						
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	et Cost (\$00	00)		
701111S	411	DESC0462 3,			,500		
	Į.	9. COST E	STIMATES				
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES	)			-	-	-	2,805
FUEL STORAGE TAN	IK (795 kL / 5,000 B	ARRELS)		LS	-	-	(1,060)
		ONITOR STATION		LS	-	-	(1,510)
PUMP STATION AND	SHELTER			LS	-	-	(110)
TRUCK FILLSTAND	ADDITION (1 STOR	P)		LS	-	-	(125)
					-	-	310
		NTS		LS	-	-	(100)
				LS	-	-	(40)
MECHANICAL AND	ELECTRICAL UTIL	LITIES		LS	-	-	(170)
				-	-	-	3,115
CONTINGENCY (5%)				_	_	_	156

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a multi-product fuel interface system consisting of a 795-kiloliter (kL) (5,000-barrel) aboveground interface fuel storage tank, distribution pipe manifold, flow and density monitors, meters, automatic tank gauging, pump station, and truck fillstand addition. Work includes an on-base piggable pipeline connecting a refinery pipe to the new manifold, interface system controls, spill containment dikes, cathodic protection, fire protection, and site utilities. Demolish two on-site abandoned 1000-barrel storage tanks.

11. REQUIREMENT: 795 kL ADEQUATE: 0 kL SUBSTANDARD: 0 kL

PROJECT: Construct a multi-product fuel interface tank system. (C)

ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST.....

SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%)......

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a fuel delivery system that can transport safely and efficiently three different fuels in the same pipeline from the refinery to the bulk fuel storage tanks at FISC, Pearl Harbor. This pipeline will transport JP-5 and JP-8 jet fuel and F-76 diesel fuel. Construction of this pipeline-and-tank system would reduce the FISC's reliance on receiving one type of jet fuel (JP-5) by barges or tankers and result in a significant annual savings in transportation costs. To maintain the stringent quality specifications of each fuel during delivery, the pipeline must be flushed of the mixed fuel that results from the changeover of fuel types in the pipeline. This contaminated interface fuel will be stored temporarily in a 795-kL (5,000-barrel) fuel storage tank. Periodically, this fuel will be returned to the refinery for reprocessing.

CURRENT SITUATION: The FISC receives JP-5 jet fuel by barge or ocean tanker and JP-8 and F-76 fuels by an existing pipeline from a nearby refinery. The cost differential of transporting JP-5 by sea, versus pipeline, is about 11 cents per gallon more. Since the naval base uses more than 29.4 million gallons per year of JP-5 fuel, a cost avoidance of \$3.2 million per year will be realized by delivering this fuel via pipeline. To achieve this savings, the pipeline system must be able to differentiate among three types of fuel and flush the contaminated interface fuel to a separate storage tank. The current pipeline system lacks this capability. Current procedures are to blend manually the interface fuel of JP-8 jet fuel and F-76 diesel fuel into the diesel fuel supply when the

3.271

3,500

213 3.484

1. Component					2. Date
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA				FEB 04
3. Installation and Loca	tion:				
FLEET AND INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY CENTER (FISC), MULTI-PRODUCT IN				NTERFACE TANK	
PEARL HARBOR, I	IAWAII				
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)
701111S	411	D	DESC0462		3,500
refinery switches pipeline delivery of these two products. Manually blending these fuels risks contamination of millions of gallons of discal fuel with jet fuel that has different physical and performance aposition time. In addition, by limiting the number of tanker					

refinery switches pipeline delivery of these two products. Manually blending these fuels risks contamination of millions of gallons of diesel fuel with jet fuel that has different physical and performance specifications. In addition, by limiting the number of tanker deliveries, the danger of potential environmental contamination during fuel transfer at the pier will be significantly reduced.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the Department of Defense (DoD) will forego an annual cost avoidance of more than \$3.2 million. The practice of manually blending diesel and JP-8 jet fuels during product changeover will remain a continuing threat of causing off-specification diesel fuel. Mission readiness could be negatively affected if this operation contaminates the stock of diesel fuel stored at the base.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus new construction concluded that the proposed project would achieve a savings-to-investment ratio of greater than 14 with a discounted payback period of less than 4 years. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

A.	Estimated	Design	Data:
----	-----------	--------	-------

Standard or Definitive Design:

Date Design was Most Recently Used:

1	S	ta	tı	u	5

(a)	Date Design Started:	01/03
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	04/03
(e)	Date Design Complete:	06/04
(f)	Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build

## 2. Basis (a)

(b)

(0) _ 100 _ 0	- "
3. Total Cost (c) = $(a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$ (\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	200
(b) All Other Design Costs	175
(c) Total	375
(d) Contract	300
(e) In-House	75
4. Contract Award	12/04
5. Construction Start	01/05
6. Construction Completion	04/06

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

NO

N/A

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE	
DEFENCE (DI A)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FEB 04									EED 04
DEFENSE (DLA)  3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	CATION 4. COMMAND							5 ADEA		
3. INSTALLATION AND LUCA	4. COMMAND								CONSTRUCTION INDEX	
MARINE CORPS AIR S									0.96	
(MCAS), CHERRY POI	NT, NO	ORTH								
CAROLINA 6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	D	ERMANEN	IT		STUDENTS	2	1	SUPPORTED		TOTAL
Tenant of USMC	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL
a. AS OF			-	-			-	-	-	1
b. END FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	OF									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET		NTORY								
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUI										22,700
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU			NG PROC	<b>JRAM</b>						
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE G. REMAINING DEFICIENC		5								
H. GRAND TOTAL	I									22,700
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	HIS PROG	RAM:								22,700
CATEGORY PROJE			PRO.	JECT TITL	Æ		COST		ESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMB 121 DESCO		R	teplace Hy		_		(\$000) 22,700		<u>ΓART</u> )1/03	<u>COMPLETE</u> 09/04
121 52500	.501	10	cpiace 11y	draint i dei	i bystem		22,700	V	,1,03	07/04
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I	PROGRAM	1								COCT
CATEGORY CODE		PROJECT TITLE					COST (\$000)			
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS									
CATEGORY				PROJ	IECT TITLE					COST
<u>CODE</u>									<u>(2)</u>	000)
None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	TION									
These fuel facilities provide		storage a	and distri	bution sy	stems to si	ipport the	e mission	s of assigne	ed units ar	nd transient
aircraft at Marine Corps Air						apport til	• 1111551511	5 01 45518110	ra allies al	
1		·								
Deferred sustainment, restor	ation, and	d modern	ization fo	or fuel fac	cilities at th	nis locatio	on is \$2.9	million.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAI	FETY DEF	ICIENCIES	5:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0				
B. WATER POLLUTION	0									
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAI		HEALTH				0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAI	EII AND	HEALIH				U				

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 N	2. Date FEB 04						
3. Installation and Loca	tion	ct Title						
MARINE CORPS CHERRY POINT,				REPL	ACE HYDR	ANT FUEL SYSTEM		
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	t Cost (\$00	00)					
702976S	121	DESC0501	STIMATES		22	,700		
		9. COST Ex	STIMATES				T	
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)	
PRIMARY FACILITIE	S			_	-	_	18,200	
HYDRANT OUTLET	S AND FUEL PIPING	G (8 OUTLETS)		LS	-	-	(12,100)	
		•••••		LS	-	-	(2,700)	
RAIL / TRUCK UNLO	OAD STATION (20 F	RAIL / 6 TRUCK STOPS)		LS	-	-	(3,400)	
SUPPORTING FACILI	ITIES			-	-	_	2,200	
SITE PREPARATION	N AND IMPROVEME	ENTS		LS	-	-	(800)	
		LITIES		LS	-	-	(800)	
				LS	-	-	(370)	
OPERATIONS & MA	INTENANCE SUPPO	ORT INFORMATION		LS	-	-	(230)	
					-	-	20,400	
CONTINGENCY (5%)				-	-	-	<u>1,020</u>	
ESTIMATED CONTRA	ACT COST		_	-	-	21,420		
SUPERVISION, INSPE	ECTION & OVERHE	AD (SIOH) (6.0%)	-	-	-	<u>1,285</u>		
TOTAL REQUEST				-	-	-	22,705	
TOTAL REQUEST (R	OUNDED)			-	-	-	22,700	
						1	1	

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse, fuel distribution piping to outlets and truck fillstand, and eight direct fueling pantograph stations. Construct a fuel unloading station for 20 railcars and 6 tank trucks including extension of the parallel rail siding, new spill containment system, truck access roads, oil-water separator, and associated piping and equipment. Work includes cathodic protection systems, leak detection, fire protection systems, fire hydrants, utility and sewer connections, site drainage improvements, perimeter fencing, and security lighting. Connect fuel distribution piping to existing 11 pantograph stations. Demolish existing pumphouse, 16 pantograph stations, rail unload facility, and associated piping and appurtenances. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 19 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 11 OL SUBSTANDARD: 16 OL

PROJECT: Replace a deteriorated hydrant fuel system and railcar fuel unload facility with a modern pressurized fuel system and unload facility. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide an environmentally safe and reliable fuel system to receive jet fuel (JP-5) from rail cars and trucks and issue fuel via a hydrant fuel system to aircraft performing critical missions at the MCAS Cherry Point. These systems are the only means of meeting the refueling needs of assigned and transient aircraft, including the refueling of wide-bodied transport aircraft used to deploy rapidly the II Marine Expeditionary Force, stationed at Camp Lejeune. These systems replace deteriorated, failing facilities, which will be demolished as part of this project.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing aircraft hydrant fuel system has experienced repeated failures, is detrimental to mission readiness, and is dangerous to personnel, aircraft, equipment, and the environment. On seven occasions since 1997, failures within the hydrant system resulted in the cumulative spilling of more than 110,000 gallons of jet fuel. The "deadhead" piping design does not provide piping to return fuel in the system to storage. As a result, the pumps cause extreme over-pressurization in the aluminum piping, leading welded joints to fail and seals to leak when valves are closed. Because of these defects, the North Carolina Department of Environmental Health and Natural Resources issued two Notices of Violation (NOV) in 1997 and 2000 against this system for non-compliance with environmental regulations. This proposed MILCON project to replace this defective system

1. Component					2. Date		
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY C	FEB 04					
3. Installation and Location: 4. Project Title							
MARINE CORPS AIR STATION (MCAS).  REPLACE HYDRAN					T FUEL SYSTEM		
CHERRY POINT, N	ORTH CAROLINA						
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)		
702976S	121	Г	DESC0501	22,700			

is part of the corrective action plan to resolve the 2000 NOV. The base currently operates this system at only 25 percent of its rated capacity to keep pipeline pressures within acceptable limits. Manual operations are needed to throttle system controls to run in this manner. This extra work diverts the labor force from other tasks. Replacement of the rail fuel unload facility will provide proper spill containment for the typical 20 rail cars delivering fuel to the base on a recurring basis. The existing 60-year-old unload station can only accommodate 14 rail cars with a containment system too small for the larger rail cars in use.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, further deterioration and failure of these fuel receipt and delivery systems will increase the risk of a complete shutdown of these systems by state regulators. Disruption of aircraft refueling will have a severely negative impact to MCAS Cherry Point's mission readiness and role as a power projection platform.

ADDITIONAL: Because of the amount of deterioration, repairing the existing hydrant fuel system is infeasible. New construction of these facilities is the only practicable alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

12.	Supp.	lement	al I	)ata:
-----	-------	--------	------	-------

A.	Estimated	Design	Data:

1.	Status

(a)	Date Design Started:	01/03
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03
(e)	Date Design Complete:	09/04
(f)	Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build

#### 2. Basis

(a) Standard or Definitive Design:	YES
(b) Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02
•	
3. Total Cost (c) = $(a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$ (\$000)	
(a) Production of Plans and Specifications	780
(b) All Other Design Costs	520
(c) Total	1,300
(d) Contract	1,040
(e) In-House	260
4. Contract Award	01/05
5. Construction Start	02/05
6. Construction Completion	02/07

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE		
DECENCE (DI A)		FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FEB 04									
DEFENSE (DLA)  3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA											
DEFENCE CURRING	NTED	COST IND									
DEFENSE SUPPLY CE COLUMBUS (DSCC), (		DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCT 0.90									
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	OFF	ERMANEN ENL	T CIV						CIV	TOTAL	
A. AS OF 30 Sep 2003	33	6	2,352	OFF 0	ENL 0	0	OPT	0	CIV	2,391	
B. END FY 2008 7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	33	6	2,273	0	0	0		0		2,312	
A. TOTAL ACREAGE 551 ACRES											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS OF SEP 2003 C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET IN INVENTORY											
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU			OGRAM							5,500	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU				GRAM						0	
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRI G. REMAINING DEFICIENC		S								9,140	
H. GRAND TOTAL	1									258,687	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T		RAM:					COOTT	F.1	ECION	OT A TOLLO	
CATEGORY PROJE CODE NUME			PROJ	ECT TITL	<u>.E</u>		COST (\$000)		ESIGN TART	STATUS COMPLETE	
742 DSCC0	0301	Rep	olace Phys	ical Fitne	ss Facility		5,500	(	03/01	11/02	
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING CATEGORY	PROGRAM	1							,	COST	
<u>CODE</u>				PRO.	<u>IECT TITLE</u>					<u>\$000)</u>	
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS										
CATEGORY	2.2.3			PRO.	IECT TITLE					COST	
<u>CODE</u>									<u>(3</u>	<u>\$000)</u>	
823					Heat Plant (I	,	2000)			5,140	
724		ŀ	Replace Co	ommunity	/Lodging Co	enter (FY	2008)		4	.,000	
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC											
The Defense Supply Center federal groups and provides											
DSCC also supports tenant a											
Defense Finance and Accou										- ( //	
Deformed quetainment	otion a=	d modern	ization =+	thia la -	otion is pag	0 m:11: -					
Deferred sustainment, restor					111011 IS \$22	.U IIIIII101	11.				
A. AIR POLLUTION						0					
B. WATER POLLUTION						0					
C. OCCUPATIONAL SA	FETY AND	) HEALTH				0					

1. Component	EV 201	05 MILITARY CONSTRU	OIFCT	DATA	2. Date			
DEFENSE (DLA)	F	FEB 04						
3. Installation and Locat			4. Projec	t Title		<u>I</u>		
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC) OHIO					CE PHYSICA	L FITNESS F.	ACILITY	
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	et Cost (\$00	00)			
702976S	742	DSCC0301			5,	500		
		9. COST	ESTIMATES					
		Item		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)	
				-	- 2.605	- 1 474	3,972	
PHYSICAL FITNESS	FACILITY		(29,000 SF)	$m^2$	2,695	1,474	(3,972)	
SUPPORTING FACILI'	TIES			_	_	_	960)	
SITE PREPARATION	AND IMPROVE	EMENTS		LS	-	-	(410)	
				LS	-	-	(500)	
ANTI-TERRORISM/F	ORCE PROTEC	CTION		LS	-	-	(50)	
SUBTOTAL	•••••			<u>-</u>	-	_	4,932	
				-	-	-	247	
ESTIMATED CONTRA	ACT COST			_	_	_	5,179	
		RHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)			-	-	311	
TOTAL REQUEST				_	-	_	5,490	
					-	-	5,500	

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a physical fitness center to include multi-purpose court, three racquetball courts, indoor running track mezzanine, aerobics room, weight-training area, administrative and storage space, and locker and shower facilities. Work includes site improvements such as driveways, sidewalks, landscaping, and site utilities. Anti-terrorism protective measures and access for the handicapped will be provided.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,695 square meters (m<sup>2</sup>) ADEQUATE: 0 m<sup>2</sup> SUBSTANDARD: 3,135 m<sup>2</sup>

PROJECT: Replace an existing physical fitness facility. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to relocate the existing fitness center, located in a converted World War II warehouse, so this 26,734 m² (287,763 square-foot) building may be vacated and demolished by separate action to reduce DoD facilities infrastructure. The relocation of this function and subsequent demolition of unneeded warehouses are part of the installation master plan, driven by a reduction of depot operations and transformation of the installation to a mostly administrative complex. Consequently, 95 percent of the installation's population has moved over the past five years to administrative facilities more than a mile away from the current fitness center. In addition, as part of this plan, an obsolete central heat plant serving warehouses at the depot is scheduled for demolition in FY 2008. When this occurs, the center would have to install an expensive individual heating system in the existing fitness facility to keep it in operation. This facility serves more than 8,000 military personnel, dependents, and government civilians at this location. The proposed center will be constructed across the street from two recently built administrative buildings providing more than one million square feet of office space.

CURRENT SITUATION: DSCC currently uses 3,135 m<sup>2</sup> (33,746 SF) of a converted warehouse, constructed in 1942, to house its physical fitness facility. As the only remaining occupant in this mostly vacant building, the fitness center is expensive to maintain and no longer situated to support conveniently the personnel who use it. Conversion of this part of the warehouse began over 20 years ago. As a result, electrical power, ventilation, plumbing, and fire protection systems are aging and more costly to maintain. In addition, the facility is not accessible to the physically handicapped.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DSCC will be forced to sustain its fitness center in a vacant building for which it has no other use and at a location that detracts from a quality work environment at this installation. Aging building systems will continue to be costly to maintain, and additional funds for a new heating system and personnel accessibility will be spent on a marginal facility.

1. Component						2. Date				
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MII	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DATA FEB 04								
3. Installation and Locat			4. Pro	oject Title	<b>?</b>					
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS (DSCC)  REPLACE PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY										
OHIO 5. Program Element	6 Catagory	Codo	7 Duniant No.	·mhau	P Droingt Cost (\$	. Project Cost (\$000)				
_		6. Category Code 7. Project Numbe				•				
702976S	7	742	DSCC0	301		5,500				
ADDITIONAL: This r	oroject meets all appl	icable DoD crite	ria The Dire	ector De	L fense Logistics Ag	ency certifies that this facility				
is suitable for joint use			The Bir	Jeto1, De	rense Logisties 115	oney cordines that this raciney				
	- J	-								
12. Supplemental Data:										
A. Estimated Design D	ata:									
1. Status										
(a) Date Desig		<b>5</b>	a			03/01				
(b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): NO										
	mpleted as of Januar	y 2004:				100				
	rcent Completed:					07/01				
	n Complete:				D : /D	11/02				
(f) Type of De	esign Contract:				Design/B	id/Build				
2. Basis										
(a) Standard o	r Definitive Design:					NO				
	gn was Most Recently	Used:				N/A				
		(*****								
	= (a)+(b) or (d)+(e)					200				
	of Plans and Specifi	cations				300				
	Design Costs					270				
(c) Total						570				
(d) Contract						455				
(e) In-House						115				
4. Contract Award						12/04				
5. Construction Sta	rt					01/05				
6. Construction Co	mpletion					03/06				

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. Component									2. DATE	
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM							М	FEB 04	
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION 4. COMMAND								CONSTRUCTION	
TIMED AID FORCE I	A CIE								COST	INDEX
TINKER AIR FORCE I OKLAHOMA	SASE,	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY							0.91	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	Pl	ERMANEN	T		STUDENT	S		SUPPORTEI	)	TOTAL
Tenant of USAF	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF										
b. END FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	OE.									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET		TORY								
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU			OGRAM							5,400
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU				GRAM						3,100
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE										
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	Y									
H. GRAND TOTAL										5,400
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	HIS PROG	RAM:								
CATEGORY PROJE			PROJ	ECT TITLI	Е		COST		ESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMB 121 DESCO		Λ.			_		(\$000) 5 400		<u>rart</u>	COMPLETE 00/04
121 DESCO	007	At	ld/Alter H	yaranı rue	a System		5,400	C	04/03	09/04
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:	DDOGD 414	r								
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I CATEGORY	PROGRAM	Į.							0	OST
CODE		<u>PROJECT TITLE</u>					<u>(\$000)</u>			
									<u></u>	<u>.</u>
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	/EADC									
CATEGORY	LAKS			DDOI					C	OST
CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	ECT TITLE				<u>(\$0</u>	<u>000)</u>
None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	TION									
These fuel facilities provide		storage s	and distrik	nution eve	eteme to e	innort the	mission	e of accione	d unite ar	nd transient
aircraft at Tinker Air Force l			iiiu uisii ii	Junon sy	stems to s	аррогі ш	. 1111551011	s of assigne	a units ai	id transient
anciari at Tinker An Torce i	Jase, Oki	anoma.								
Deferred sustainment, restor	ation an	d modern	ization fo	r fuel fac	ilitias at tl	nis locatio	on ic \$4.0	million		
Deterred sustainment, restor	ation, and	a modern	ization io	i iuci iac	mues at u	ns iocan	JII 18 ψ <del>4</del> .0	IIIIIIIIIII.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAI	ETY DEF	ICIENCIES	:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0				
B. WATER POLLUTION						0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAI	FETY AND	HEALTH				0				

1. Component	EV 2005 N	MILITARY CONSTRU	OIECT	DATA	2. Date				
DEFENSE (DLA)	F Y 2005 N	ALLITAKY CONSTRU	CHONPR	OJECI	FEB 04				
, ,									
3. Installation and Locati	ion		4. Projec	t Title					
TINKER AIR FOR	CE BASE			ADD/A	LTER HVDR	ANT FUEL S	VSTEM		
OKLAHOMA	CE DAIGE			1100/11	EIER HIDN		ISILM		
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	t Cost (\$00	00)				
		•							
702976S	121	DESC0607			5.4	400			
			STIMATES						
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)		
					-				
PRIMARY FACILITIES	S			_	_	_	3,300		
HYDRANT OUTLETS	S AND FUEL PIPING	G (8 OUTLETS)		LS	-	-	(2,000)		
FUEL STORAGE TAN	JK (795 kL / 5,000 B.	ARRELS)		LS	-	-	(700)		
PUMP STATION AND	SHELTER			LS	-	-	(600)		
				-	-	-	1,550		
		NTS		LS	-	-	(700)		
		ITIES		LS	-	-	(600)		
				LS	-	-	(150)		
				LS	-	-	(50)		
OPERATIONS & MAI	INTENANCE SUPPO	ORT INFORMATION		LS	-	-	(50)		
SURTOTAI				_	_	_	4.850		
				-	_	243			
CONTINGENCI (5%).	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		-	-	-	<u>243</u>			
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST					-	-	5,093		
		AD (SIOH) (6.0%)			-	-	<u>306</u>		
TOTAL REQUEST					-	-	5,399		

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Provide a modified hydrant fuel system with four fuel and four defuel hydrant outlets in associated lateral control pits, pump shelter and control room, one 795-kiloliter (kL) (5,000-barrel) steel fuel storage tank, and fuel distribution pipeline. Work includes leak detection system, cathodic protection, utility and sewer connections, electrical transformer, and emergency generator. Demolish two existing lateral control pits and two hydrant pits and associated piping. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 8 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 0 OL SUBSTANDARD: 2 OL

PROJECT: Add to and alter an existing hydrant fuel system to meet current mission requirements. (C)

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide an efficient and environmentally safe means to fuel or defuel up to four wide-bodied aircraft simultaneously. When these aircraft arrive for programmed depot maintenance (PDM) at the Oklahoma Air Logistics Center, their fuel tanks must be emptied (defueled) and purged of fuel vapors before maintenance activities may begin. This project provides an operationally efficient means of defueling these aircraft upon arrival and refueling them during testing and at departure. In addition, the new system reduces the risk of fuel spills compared with the labor-intensive defueling of these large aircraft into refueler trucks. This hydrant system supports the depot maintenance of B-1, B-52, KC-135, and E-3 aircraft.

CURRENT SITUATION: The existing system is antiquated and reduces productivity because it can only fuel or defuel one aircraft at a time. Current defueling and fueling operations are conducted at different locations on the parking apron. When aircraft arrive for PDM, they are defueled one at a time into refueler trucks, which must cycle to and from the bulk storage tanks three miles away to unload this fuel. After defueling, these aircraft must be towed to another location on the apron to have their fuel tanks purged of fuel vapors. This labor- and equipment-intensive process is inefficient, costly, and environmentally risky because of the frequent transfer of fuel to trucks. In contrast, the proposed project will allow simultaneous operations on four aircraft and eliminate towing aircraft between sites.

5,400

1. Component					2. Date
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CO	FEB 04			
3. Installation and Loca	tion:		4. Project Title	e	
TINKER AIR FORCE BASE ADD/ALTER HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM					
OKLAHOMA					
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	ject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)
702976S	121	I	DESC0607		5,400
IMPACT IF NOT PRO	DVIDED: If this project is not pro	ovided, pro	oductivity at the	 e Oklahoma Air Log	istics Center will continue to

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, productivity at the Oklahoma Air Logistics Center will continue to be adversely affected by an outdated fuel system that lacks the capability of efficiently handling large, wide-bodied aircraft. The environmental risk of a potential fuel spill will remain unmitigated.

ADDITIONAL: An economic analysis comparing adding to and altering the current hydrant system versus continuing status quo operations concluded that the proposed project was the more cost effective alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
  - 1. Status

(a)	Date Design Started:	04/03
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/03

- (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 07/03
  (e) Date Design Complete: 09/04
- (f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build
- 2. Basis

(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	NO
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	240
(b)	All Other Design Costs	160
(c)	Total	400
(d)	Contract	320
(e)	In-House	80

4. Contract Award01/055. Construction Start02/056. Construction Completion08/06

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1 COMPONENT								ī	4 D 4 7		
1. COMPONENT								_	2. DATE		
DEFENSE (DLA)		FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM FEB 04									
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION		4. COM	MAND						CONSTRUCTION	
				DEFEN	CE LOC	TOTALOG	ACENCE	7	COST	INDEX	
DDSP, NEW CUMBERI	LAND,			DEFEN	SE LOG	ISTICS A	AGENCY	(		0.93	
PENNSYLVANIA				T			T			_	
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		ERMANEN			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL	
a. AS OF 30 SEP 2003	OFF 14	ENL 11	CIV 1,653	OFF 0	ENL 0	CIV 0	OFF 201	ENL 0	CIV 663	2,542	
b. END FY 2008	11	10	1,600	0	0	0	190	0	600	2,411	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)		_	,		-			-		,	
A. TOTAL ACREAGE			848 AC	RES							
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS										3,761,311	
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET										24,600	
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU										22,300	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU			NG PROC	iKAM						6,500	
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE		5								84,016	
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	Y									90,000	
H. GRAND TOTAL  8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	THIS DDOG	DAM:								3,988,727	
category Proje		KAWI:					COST	DE	ESIGN	STATUS	
CODE NUMB			<u>PROJ</u>	ECT TITLE	3		(\$000)		CART	COMPLETE	
219 DDCX(	0501	Con	solidated I	Maintenan	ce Facility		22,300	0	4/03	09/04	
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING	PROGRAM	[									
CATEGORY				PROII	ECT TITLE					COST	
<u>CODE</u>				11001	<u>SCI IIIEE</u>				<u>(S</u>	<u>6000)</u>	
724				Replace L	odging Fac	ility			6	,500	
/24				Replace L	ouging I at	inty			O	,500	
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS								,	COUT	
CATEGORY <u>CODE</u>				<u>PROJI</u>	ECT TITLE					COST 6000)	
740			Replace I	Physical Fi	tness Facil	ity (FY 20	007)			,300	
841					orage Tanl					,416	
441			E	Bulk Warel	nouse (FY	2008)			30	0,000	
441			Logistics		s Warehou		08)			7,000	
441					eds (FY 20					,300	
610	TION		DDC H	Ieadquarte	rs Building	(FY 2009	9)		20	0,000	
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC		(D.D.	CD/ :	.1 1	· ·			1 1 .			
Defense Distribution Depot											
Defense-owned commoditie											
commodities are medical ma											
maintenance support of Arm										oo square meter	
(1.6 million square feet) auto	omated m	ateriel pr	ocessing	center tha	it services	CONUS	ana over	seas custor	ners.		
Deferred sustainment, restor	ration, and	d modern	ization at	this insta	llation is	\$50.7 mil	llion.				
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAI	FETY DEFI	ICIENCIES	•							
A AID DOLL LITTION						0					
A. AIR POLLUTION						0					
B. WATER POLLUTION	B. WATER POLLUTION 0										

C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 N	2. Date	EB 04							
3. Installation and Location 4. Project Title										
DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT SUSQUEHANNA (DDSP), NEW CUMBERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA				CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY						
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	ct Cost (\$0	00)					
7029768	219	DDCX0501	22,300							
		9. COST ES	TIMATES		T					
		U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)					

9. COST ESTIMATES									
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)					
PRIMARY FACILITIES	_	-	-	17,186					
CONSOLIDATED MAINTENANCE FACILITY(181,000 SF)		16,815	855	(14,377)					
PRODUCT TESTING CENTER(15,000 SF)	$m^2$	1,394	1,208	(1,684)					
COVERED PARKING		-	-	(770)					
TRACTOR AND SALT SHEDS	LS	-	-	(280)					
WASH BAY	LS	-	-	(75)					
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	_	_	-	2,810					
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(1,200)					
SITE UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(610)					
DEMOLITION	LS	-	-	(1,000)					
SUBTOTAL		-	-	19,996					
CONTINGENCY (5%)	-	-	-	1,000					
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST	_	_	_	20,996					
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)	-	-	-	1,260					
TOTAL REQUEST	_	_	_	22,256					
TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED)	-	-	-	22,300					
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FROM OTHER APPROPRIATIONS (NON-ADD)	-	-	-	(700)					

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a 16,815 m<sup>2</sup> (181,000 SF) maintenance facility to consolidate eight facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance functions. Typical clear height will be 6.1 meters (m) (20 feet) with clearance in three large-vehicle maintenance bays at 7.62 m (25 feet). Built-in equipment includes hoists and bridge cranes, compressed air piping, dust collection system, oil and grease delivery system, and vehicle exhaust ventilation system. Provide connection to all needed utilities. Work includes separate fuel dispensing station, wash bay, tractor shed, and a salt storage shed. Provide open and covered parking for installation vehicles and parking for employees. Construct a separate 1,394 m<sup>2</sup> (15,000 SF) product testing center with a clear height of 5.18 m (17 feet.). Demolish 14 existing structures at 26,775 m<sup>2</sup> (288,200 SF) occupied by these functions.

11. REQUIREMENT: 18,209 m<sup>2</sup> ADEQUATE: 0 m<sup>2</sup> SUBSTANDARD: 26,775 m<sup>2</sup>

PROJECT: Construct a modern maintenance facility to consolidate base support functions and reduce obsolete infrastructure. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to consolidate eight facilities-maintenance functions now scattered in 14 various World War I and II-era buildings and sheds. This project will improve productivity and efficiency and eliminate costly, deteriorated plant infrastructure. Adequate work space for 219 employees will be provided for facilities engineering shops and engineering staff, base supply, road and grounds, motor pool, vehicle maintenance, and a separate product testing center. Supporting facilities include sufficient open and covered parking for the numerous service vehicles, trucks, construction equipment, and forklifts assigned or serviced at this facility. Fuel dispensing facilities, wash rack, a tractor shed, and a salt storage shed will also be provided. A separate product testing center, supporting the DLA logistics mission, will be constructed to replace space impacted by the planned demolition.

CURRENT SITUATION: Eight facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance activities provide essential base support from 14 separate facilities on the installation that range from 60 to 85 years old. The largest of these facilities is a 21,461 m<sup>2</sup> (231,000 SF) wooden World War I warehouse that provides inadequate safety clearances, ventilation, and fire protection to meet current building codes for the vehicle maintenance and shop work performed inside. The other building and sheds, totaling 5,314 m<sup>2</sup> (57,200 SF),

1. Component					2. Date
DEF ENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CO	FEB 04			
2 1	4		4 Dec 2 - 4 TP41		
3. Installation and Loca	uon:		4. Project Title	2	
DEFENSE DISTRIB	LIDATED MAIN	TENANCE FACILITY			
(DDSP), NEW CUMI	BERLAND, PENNSYLVANIA				
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pro	oject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)
702976S	219	22,300			
are in various states of	deterioration, but are used due to	a lack of	suitable alternat	e space. In some ca	ses functional units are

are in various states of deterioration, but are used due to a lack of suitable alternate space. In some cases, functional units are divided into two or more buildings. As a result, communication, coordination, and staff supervision, between and within departments, are difficult and inefficient due to the distance between supervisors and workers. All 14 of these deteriorated structures will be demolished as part of this project in support of Department of Defense infrastructure recapitalization goals and the DDSP installation master plan.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DDSP will continue to perform essential facilities engineering and vehicle maintenance activities from obsolete buildings that fail to meet current building-code standards. Worker health, safety, and productivity will remain at risk from a substandard working environment. Costly facilities operation, sustainment, and restoration of these deteriorated buildings will divert scarce infrastructure resources that could be better invested in other urgent needs.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of the status quo versus new construction concluded that the proposed project is the only feasible alternative to meet mission requirements. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

2. Supplei	mental Data:		
. Estima	ted Design Data:		
1. Stat			
(a)	Date Design Started:	04/03	
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES	
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35	
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/03	
(e)	Date Design Complete:	09/04	
(f)	Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build	
2. Basi			
(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	NO	
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A	
3. Tota	al Cost $(c) = (a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$ (\$000)		
(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	720	
(b)	All Other Design Costs	480	
(c)	Total	1,200	
(d)	Contract	960	
(e)	In-House	240	
4. Con	atract Award	02/05	
5. Con	struction Start	03/05	
6. Con	astruction Completion	03/07	

PURPOSEAPPROPRIATIONFISCAL YEAR REQUIREDAMOUNT (\$000)Storage AidsDWCF2005700Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE	
,	1	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM								
DEFENSE (DLA)	<u> </u>							!		FEB 04
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION		4. COM	MAND						CONSTRUCTION
NAVAL AIR STATION	(NAS)			DEFEN	NSE LOG	ISTICS	AGENC	Y	COST	INDEX 0.91
KINGSVILLE, TEXAS	(2122)				102 2 5	10 11 11		- '		0.52
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		PERMANEN			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL
Tenant of USN a. AS OF	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	-
b. END FY	1				ļ	1		'		
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)										
A. TOTAL ACREAGE B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	ΩF									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET		NTORY								9,200
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUI	ESTED IN	N THIS PR								3,900
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU			ING PROC	ЗRАМ						
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE G. REMAINING DEFICIENC		.S								
H. GRAND TOTAL	· <b>1</b>									13,100
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	HIS PROC	GRAM:								20,200
CATEGORY PROJE			<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLI	.E		COST		ESIGN	STATUS COMPLETE
CODE NUME 124 DESCO		R	Replace Jet				(\$000) 3,900		TART 12/02	<u>COMPLETE</u> 09/04
<b>-</b>			орган		80 1		·,>		2/32	<i>52,</i> 5 .
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I CATEGORY	PROGRAM	Л							(	COST
CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE	•				<u>5000)</u>
<b>N</b> T										
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y CATEGORY	<b>YEARS</b>								(	COST
CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE	•				<u>5000)</u>
									-	
None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC		-								
These fuel facilities provide	essential	l storage a	and distrib	oution sys	stems to su	apport the	: missions	s of assigne	d units at	. Naval Air
Station, Kingsville, Texas.										
Deferred sustainment, restor	ration, an	ıd modern	nization fo	or fuel fac	cilities at t	his location	on is \$360	0.000.		
,	,						,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SA	FETY DEF	ICIENCIES	j:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0				
B. WATER POLLUTION						0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFE	ETY AND	HEALTH				0				

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 N	2. Date FEB 04					
3. Installation and Locat	ion		4. Projec	t Title			
NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS			REPL	ACE JET FUE	EL STORAGE	TANK	
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	t Cost (\$0	00)		
702976S	124	DESC0560			3,9	900	
		9. COST E	STIMATES				
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES	S			-	-	-	2,780
FUEL STORAGE TAN	NK (3,180 kL / 20,00	) BARRELS)		LS	-	-	(1,620)
				LS	-	-	(280)
FUEL OPERATIONS	BUILDING			LS	-	-	(320)
CORROSION CONTR	OL CANOPIES			LS	-	-	(460)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION	N PIPING			LS	-	-	(100)
				-	-	-	720
		NTS		LS	-	-	(80)
		LITIES		LS	-	-	(90)
				LS	-	-	(510)
OPERATIONS AND N	MAINTENANCE SU	PPORT INFORMATION		LS	-	-	(40)
SHRTOTAL					_	_	3,500
SUBTOTALCONTINGENCY (5%)					_	_	175
CUNTINGENCY (5%)					_	_	173
ESTIMATED CONTRA	CT COST			_	_	_	3,675
				_	_	_	221
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)							<u> 221</u>

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Construct a steel, aboveground fuel-storage tank of 3,180-kiloliter (kL) (20,000-barrel) capacity, concrete containment dikes, fuel operations building, and corrosion control canopies over existing fuel fillstands. Work includes fuel distribution piping, cathodic protection systems, fire protection, controls and alarms, automatic tank gauging, utility and sewer connections, and site preparation and improvements. Provide operations and maintenance support information. Demolish the existing storage complex of underground and aboveground tanks, piping, and associated support facilities.

11. REQUIREMENT: 9,540 kL ADEQUATE: 6,360 kL SUBSTANDARD: 5,612 kL

PROJECT: Replace jet-fuel storage tanks and distribution system. (C)

TOTAL REQUEST (ROUNDED).....

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to replace a failing jet-fuel storage complex at NAS Kingsville. To support the station's mission of naval pilot training and to meet Department of Defense fuel inventory requirements at this location, a fuel storage facility of 9,540-kL (60,000-barrels) capacity is essential. This project is the second of two projects to provide the vital storage tanks, fuel receipt facilities, and refueler-truck distribution system to support the flight line refueling of aircraft. The first project, approved in the DLA FY 2004 MILCON program, provided 6,380 kL (40,000 barrels) of fuel storage and fuel loading and unloading systems. The existing fuel storage complex will be demolished in coordination with an ongoing environmental remediation project. Four underground tanks and one aboveground tank of 5,612-kL (35,300-barrel) total capacity will be removed with their supporting pipelines and other facilities.

CURRENT SITUATION: The capability of NAS Kingsville to meet its mission responsibilities has been severely jeopardized by the closure of three of its five jet-fuel storage tanks due to their deterioration and leaking of fuel into the ground. The underground tanks are more than 50 years old; the one aboveground tank is more than 30 years old. This storage site has been formally

3,896

3,900

1. Component					2. Date
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CO	FEB 04			
3. Installation and Loca					
NAVAL AIR STATION (NAS) KINGSVILLE, TEXAS REPLACE JET FUEL					STORAGE TANK
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Pr	oject Number	8. Project Cost (\$0	000)
702976S	124	]	DESC0560		3,900

identified as contaminated by the State of Texas. The Texas Natural Resources Conservation Commission has listed these tanks in their Leaking Petroleum Storage Tank program. Environmental remediation of this site is ongoing through the Navy's Installation Restoration Program.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, NAS Kingsville might not be able to meet its assigned mission in the future due to the failure of its fuel storage facilities. Existing in-service tanks and underground piping will continue to age and deteriorate, posing a significant environmental and safety risk. Ongoing remediation efforts will be hampered by the potential for further contamination.

ADDITIONAL: An analysis of repairing the existing facilities versus constructing a new fuel storage complex concluded that construction at a new site was the only feasible alternative to accomplish the fueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components. This project is required to fund the remaining scope of work to construct a new jet-fuel storage complex at this installation. The FY 2004 project, inserted by Congress, was appropriated at \$9.2 million—too little to accomplish the full scope of the intended work.

12.	Su	pp	lemental	Dat	a:
	_				_

				_
Λ.	Estima	atad l	Jacian	Data
л.	12801111	aicu	7651211	Data.

-	~		
	C 1	atı	10

(a)	Date Design Started:	12/02
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03
(e)	Date Design Complete:	09/04

(e) Date Design Complete:(f) Type of Design Contract:

Data Dagian Stantade

Design/Bid/Build

12/02

#### 2. Basis

(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	YES
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	140
(b)	All Other Design Costs	95
(c)	Total	235
(d)	Contract	190
(e)	In-House	45

4. Contract Award	11/04
5. Construction Start	11/04
6. Construction Completion	11/06

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE		
DEFENCE (DI A)		FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM									
DEFENSE (DLA)	TT ON		4 0010						FEB 04		
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION		4. COM	MAND					5. AREA CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX		
DEFENSE SUPPLY CEN	TER			DEFEN	SE LOG	ISTICS	AGENCY	Y	COST	0.91	
	RICHMOND (DSCR), VIRGINIA										
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	P	ERMANEN		ı	STUDENT			SUPPORTEI		TOTAL	
	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV		
a. AS OF 30 Sep 2003 b. END FY 2008	36 40	36 8 3,318 0 0 60 8 1 40 9 3,649 0 0 66 9 2							618* 679*	4,049* 4,454*	
* Includes Contractors	40	,	3,049			00	2	2	019	4,434	
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)	I			1	1		I	1		1	
A. TOTAL ACREAGE			3 ACRES								
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS											135,120
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET			OCDAN								5,500
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUE. AUTHORIZATION INCLU				TD A M							10,100
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRI			NOTROC	JIVAIVI							23,000
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC		,									23,000
H. GRAND TOTAL											173,720
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T		RAM:									,
CATEGORY PROJE			PROJ	ECT TITLE	Ξ		COST		ESIGN	STA	
<u>CODE</u> <u>NUME</u> 610 DSCRO				rence Cent			(\$000) 3,600		<u>ΓART</u> )2/02	COMP 07/	
442 DSCR0				Enhancen			6,500		01/03	07/	
							-,				
O FUELINE DE OFICE											
9. FUTURE PROJECTS: a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING	PROGRAN	ſ									
CATEGORY	i ito oru ii,	•		DD () I	ECT TITLE					COST	
<u>CODE</u>				FROJ	ECT IIILE				<u>(\$</u>	<u> (0000)</u>	
None											
Tione											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS								,	COST	
CATEGORY CODE				PROJ	ECT TITLE					5000)	
<u> </u>									77	<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	
610		Conso			nt Equipme			1		),300	
740			•	•	itness Facil	•				,800	
219 10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	TION		Replac	e Enginee	ring Center	(FY 2009	')		6	,900	
The Defense Supply Center Ric		SCR) org	anizes dire	ects and a	ccomplishe	s the man	agement of	f supplies in	assigned	Federal grou	ins and
provides supply support of dec											
installation, including the DLA	Defense l	Distributio	n Depot R	ichmond (	DDRV).	•					
Deferred sustainment, restorati	on, and mo	odernizatio	on at this lo	ocation is S	2.8 million	1.					
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION AND SAFETY DEFICIENCIES:											
	5.1										
A. AIR POLLUTION						0					
B. WATER POLLUTION											
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFI	ETY AND I	HEALTH				0					
						~					

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 N	MILITARY CONSTRUC	2. Date F1	EB 04			
3. Installation and Locati	on		4. Projec	t Title			
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR), VIRGINIA				CONFEREN	CE CENTER		
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	t Cost (\$00	00)		
702976S	610	DSCR0401			3,	600	
	T4	9. COST ES	TIMATES	11/0.4	0	H. A. C	C (\$000)
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
		(2		m <sup>2</sup>	2,600	1,208	3,141 (3,141)
DEMOLITION				LS LS	- - -	- - -	100 (30) (70)
				-	-	- -	3,241 <u>162</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST					- -	- -	3,403 <u>204</u>
TOTAL REQUEST					-	- -	3,607 3,600
EQUIPMENT FUNDED FF	ROM OTHER APPROF	PRIATIONS (NON-ADD)		-	-	-	(605)

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Convert a 2,600 square-meter (28,000 square-foot) warehouse bay to a conference center for 1,400 people. Provide movable wall partitions to divide the center into a smaller auditorium and up to six training rooms of various sizes. Provide restrooms and entrance additions to the existing building to accommodate this level of occupancy. Work includes new electrical power and lighting; heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC); fire protection; plumbing; telecommunications; and built-in audio-visual equipment to meet the operational needs of this facility.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,600 m<sup>2</sup> ADEQUATE: 0 m<sup>2</sup> SUBSTANDARD: 0 m<sup>2</sup>

PROJECT: Convert a vacant warehouse bay to a conference center. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide suitable space for command-wide assemblies of DSCR personnel or other large business meetings and conferences supporting the DSCR mission. This project converts a vacant warehouse bay to an auditorium/conference center for up to 1,400 employees. By using movable wall panels, this space may be subdivided to smaller training rooms for 20, 40, or 50 people each to provide maximum training flexibility. This conference center, adjacent to administrative bays recently renovated in an FY 99 DLA MILCON project, provides convenient, accessible space for large meetings, which does not exist elsewhere on the installation. Conversion of this underutilized space to a conference center will allow the command to avoid the costly leasing of commercial hotel conference rooms for these essential meetings.

CURRENT SITUATION: DSCR lacks an auditorium for command assemblies or large business meetings of more than 35 people. To accommodate groups larger than this number, DSCR must either rent commercial conference space or hold multiple, repetitive meetings with groups of employees to disseminate information or conduct training. Using commercial space results in costly rental fees, employee transportation costs, and lost productivity for both the employees in transit and senior managers convening the meetings. Holding multiple meetings on the same subject is inefficient and disruptive to the schedules of these senior managers. DSCR conducts an annual aviation weapons conference with the military services, business system modernization workshops, and frequent "all-hands" employee forums with the commander during the year that incur significant costs that could be avoided by construction of a conference center on the installation.

1. Component					2. Date
	FY 2005 MILITARY CO				
DEFENSE (DLA)					FEB 04
3. Installation and Location	allation and Location:  4. Project Title				
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR), CONFERENCE VIRGINIA					E CENTER
5. Program Element	6. Category Code 7. Project Number 8. Project Cost			8. Project Cost (\$	000)
702976S	610	I	OSCR0401		3,600

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, DSCR will continue to incur costs to rent commercial conference space and lose productivity by employees traveling to remote sites for large meetings. Senior managers will continue to expend scarce resources conducting multiple meetings on the same subject in order to reach their intended audience. Vacant warehouse space in the new administrative section of the installation will remain underutilized.

ADDITIONAL: This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility is suitable for joint use by other components.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
  - 1. Status
    - (a) Date Design Started: 02/02
    - (b) Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No): NO
    - (c) Percent Completed as of January 2004: 35
    - (d) Date 35 Percent Completed: 07/02
       (e) Date Design Complete: 07/04
    - (f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build
  - 2. Basis
    - (a) Standard or Definitive Design: NO
    - (b) Date Design was Most Recently Used: N/A
  - 3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)
    - (a) Production of Plans and Specifications 150
    - (b) All Other Design Costs 100
    - (c) Total 250
    - (d) Contract 200
    - (e) In-House 50
  - 4. Contract Award
  - 5. Construction Start 01/05
  - 6. Construction Completion 01/06
- B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:

<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED	<u>AMOUNT (\$000)</u>
Audio/Visual Equipment	DWCF	2005	400
Telecommunication Equipment	DWCF	2005	70
Auditorium/Conference Furniture	DWCF	2005	105
Intrusion Detection System	DWCF	2006	<u>30</u>
			605

1. Component	EX 2005 N	ALL ITA DAY CONSTRUICS	CION DD	OIECT	DATA	2. Date			
DEFENSE (DLA)	F Y 2005 N	MILITARY CONSTRUCT	HON PK	OJECI	DATA	F	EB 04		
3. Installation and Locat	ion		4. Projec	t Title					
DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER RICHMOND (DSCR),				SECURITY ENHANCEMENTS					
VIRGINIA		,		-					
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	t Cost (\$00	00)				
701111S	442	DSCR0502			6,	500			
		9. COST EST	TIMATES				T		
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)		
				- 2	-	-	3,384		
MAIL INSPECTION AND STORAGE FACILITY(25,550 SF ENTRANCE GATE IMPROVEMENTS				m <sup>2</sup>	2,374	1,120	(2,659)		
ENTRANCE GATE IN	APROVEMENTS	•••••		LS	-	-	(725)		
SUPPORTING FACILI	ΓΙΕS			-	-	-	2.450		
		D SITE IMPROVEMENTS		LS	-	-	(950)		
				LS	-	-	(500)		
TELECOMMUNICAT	IONS			LS	-	-	(1,000)		
CHIDTOTAL							5,834		
				-	-	_	292		
contintoliter (570).		•••••					292		
ESTIMATED CONTRA	CT COST			-	-	-	6,126		
SUPERVISION, INSPE	CTION & OVERHE	AD (SIOH) (6.0%)		-	-	-	<u>368</u>		
TOTAL DECLIEST							6,494		
-					-	-	6,494 6,500		
TOTTLE REQUEST (RC	,						0,500		
EQUIPMENT FUNDED F	ROM OTHER APPRO	PRIATIONS (NON-ADD)		-	-	-	(910)		
							1		

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a 2,374 square-meter (m²) (25,550 square-foot) mail inspection and storage facility with visitor registration office, and improve entrance gate to include vehicle inspection lanes and canopy, gate house at 27 m² (300 SF), two guard houses at 9 m² (100 SF) each, and one remote guard house at 19 m² (200 SF) for truck inspections. Work includes site preparation; utilities, sewer, and drainage connections; fencing; security lighting; emergency generator; access roads; paved parking; and telecommunications ductbank construction. Widen asphalt entrance road by up to three traffic lanes to improve vehicle ingress to and egress from installation. Demolish existing small guard shack.

11. REQUIREMENT: 2,374 m<sup>2</sup> ADEQUATE: 0 m<sup>2</sup> SUBSTANDARD: 0 m<sup>2</sup>

PROJECT: Provide security enhancements at a main entrance gate and a new mail inspection facility. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide permanent security enhancements at the current main entrance gate into the installation to comply with anti-terrorism/force protection security requirements. Entrance gate facilities must enable installation security forces to perform incoming vehicular and personnel inspections under all Force Protection Conditions (FPCON). In addition, a mail inspection and storage facility is needed that is safely located away from heavily populated employee work areas so incoming mail and packages may be x-rayed, isolated, and stored until they can be cleared for internal distribution. This project relocates the mail center from its current space in the middle of the installation's primary administrative zone. An installation road leading to the main gate will be widened by up to three additional traffic lanes to accommodate vehicles queuing for inspection. A visitor registration office will be located in the mail inspection facility.

CURRENT SITUATION: In the aftermath of the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks and subsequent incidents of anthrax-laced mail, DSCR executed expedient measures to meet new Department of Defense force protection requirements. As part of this effort, DSCR closed to incoming traffic the main gate, which was adjacent to the installation headquarters building. The entrance road to this gate is immediately off a major U.S. highway and provided no buffer for vehicles to queue while awaiting vehicle inspection. Consequently, vehicles lined up along the highway, creating unsafe conditions. Incoming traffic was rerouted to another entrance gate, which previously served truck traffic into the collocated distribution depot. Current expedient traffic barriers and inspection facilities at this new main gate are inadequate for continued long-term operations, particularly with the mix of truck and automobile traffic. Moreover, this site lacks an adequate visitor registration office. This function is currently performed in a converted

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CON	2. Date FEB 04			
3. Installation and Location: DEFENSE SUPPLY CENT VIRGINIA	ER RICHMOND (DSCR),		4. Project Title	SECURITY ENH.	ANCEMENTS
			oject Number OSCR0502	8. Project Cost (\$0	000) 6,500

storage container. The existing mail center is located in a bay of a multi-functional administrative building within a complex of heavily occupied buildings. This location exposes employees to a potentially deadly risk if the mail center receives contaminated mail or a malicious package.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, security forces at DSCR will continue to be hampered by inadequate facilities to inspect incoming truck and automobile traffic and to process visitors. The existing mail center will continue to expose a majority of DSCR's employees to serious risk.

ADDITIONAL: Because the mail center must be isolated, but readily accessible to an installation entrance, new construction of this facility is the only practicable alternative. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
  - 1. Status

(a)	Date Design Started:	01/03
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	YES
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	35
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	07/03

(e) Date Design Complete:

(f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build

2. Basis

(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	NO
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:	N/A

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)

(a) Production of Plans and Specifications

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications	235
(b)	All Other Design Costs	155
(c)	Total	390
(d)	Contract	310
(e)	In-House	80

- 4. Contract Award
  5. Construction Start
  6. Construction Completion
  12/04
  01/05
  07/06
- B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations:

<u>PURPOSE</u>	<u>APPROPRIATION</u>	FISCAL YEAR REQUIRED	AMOUNT (\$000)
Telecommunications	DWCF	2005	500
X-Ray Equipment	DWCF	2005	250
Intrusion Detection System	DWCF	2006	60
Systems & Other Furniture	DWCF	2006	<u>100</u>
•			910
		Point of Contact is Thomas P	. Barba at 703-767-3534

07/04

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE	
DEFENSE (DLA)		FY 2005	5 MILITA	М		FEB 04				
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION		4. COM	MAND						CONSTRUCTION
NAVAL AIR STATION	I (NAS)			DEFEN	NSE LOG	ISTICS	AGENC	v	COST	INDEX 0.92
OCEANA, VIRGINIA	(MAD)			Dist is.	ISE LOG.	101100	AGEITO.	1		0.92
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH		ERMANEN			STUDENTS			SUPPORTED		TOTAL
Tenant of USN	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	
a. AS OF b. END FY	1									
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000)				<u> </u>						
A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET		TTODV								
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU			OGRAM							3,589
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU				<b>GRAM</b>						2,20.
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE		S								
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	Y									2.500
H. GRAND TOTAL  8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	THE DDOG	DAM.								3,589
CATEGORY PROJE		KAIVI.	DDO.	ग्युट्स सासा	Б		COST	DI	ESIGN	STATUS
CODE NUMB				JECT TITLI			<u>(\$000)</u>	_	TART	COMPLETE 10/04
411 DESCO	)601		Bulk Fue	el Storage	Tank		3,589	U	01/03	10/04
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:										
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING	PROGRAN	1								
CATEGORY CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE					COST 000)
CODE									<u>/ 4.</u>	<u>500)</u>
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS									
CATEGORY CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE	-				COST 000)
<u>CODE</u>									<u>(φ</u>	<u>000)</u>
None										
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	TION									
These fuel facilities provide	essential	storage &	and distrib	oution sys	stems to st	apport the	missions	s of assigne	d units at	Naval Air Station
Oceana, Virginia.										
D.C. 1. (classical acres)	··	1	·	C -1.6	*1*** . = _4_4*		. 610	*111*		
Deferred sustainment, restor					illines at u	118 locatio	On 18 ⊅4.7	million.		
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAI	FETY DEF	ICIENCIES	:						
A. AIR POLLUTION						0				
B. WATER POLLUTION						0				
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFI	ETY AND I	HEALTH				0				

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 M	MILITARY CONSTRUCT	2. Date FEB 04					
3. Installation and Locati	3. Installation and Location 4. Project Title							
NAVAL AIR STAT	ION (NAS) OCEA	ANA, VIRGINIA	BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK					
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Project Cost (\$000)					
701111S	411	DESC0601	- )	589				
5	, i	v	3,	589				

9. COST ESTIMATES				
Item	U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES	_	-	-	2,520
FUEL STORAGE TANK (3,180 kL / 20,000 BARRELS)	LS	-	-	(1,450)
PILE FOUNDATION		-	-	(350)
PUMP STATION AND SHELTER	LS	-	-	(420)
FUEL DISTRIBUTION PIPING	LS	-	-	(300)
SUPPORTING FACILITIES	-	-	-	705
SITE PREPARATION AND IMPROVEMENTS	LS	-	-	(375)
SITE UTILITIES	LS	-	-	(300)
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE SUPPORT INFORMATION	LS	-	-	(30)
SUBTOTAL	-	-	-	3,225
CONTINGENCY (5%)	-	-	-	<u>161</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST	-	-	-	3,386
SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.0%)	-	-	-	<u>203</u>
TOTAL REQUEST	-	-	-	3,589
			l	1

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a 3,180-kiloliter (kL) (20,000-barrel) aboveground jet-fuel storage tank. Work will include pile foundation, impervious concrete dikes, leak detection, controls, alarms, piping, cathodic protection, automatic tank gauging, and fire protection. Provide a 76 liter-per-second (1,200 gallon-per-minute) transfer pump station and shelter. Provide site preparation, fencing, access roads, and site utilities. Connect pipelines to existing jet fuel storage system.

11. REQUIREMENT: 14,309 kL ADEQUATE: 11,129 kL SUBSTANDARD: 0 kL

PROJECT: Construct a bulk jet-fuel storage tank. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide additional jet fuel storage capacity of 3,180 kL (20,000 barrels) at Naval Air Station Oceana to provide a total on-station storage capacity of 14,309 kL (90,000 barrels). This quantity of fuel is necessary to meet warreserve and operation-plan (OPLAN) requirements determined by the Commander, Atlantic Fleet.

CURRENT SITUATION: NAS Oceana currently has 11,129 kL (70,000 barrels) of storage in three bulk fuel storage tanks and two small operating tanks. Additional storage capacity is needed to sustain ongoing peacetime operations and satisfy OPLAN and warreserve requirements to support the station's mission.

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the lack of adequate jet fuel storage will jeopardize NAS Oceana's ability to conduct sustained flight operations in support of Atlantic Fleet operations plans. The increased fuel demand of assigned and transient aircraft, coupled with the lack of fuel storage tanks, will raise the potential for running out of fuel or issuing fuel that has not had sufficient storage time to settle out contaminants.

1. Component	1					2. Date	
DEFENSE (1	DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CON	STRUC	TION PROJE	CCT DATA	FEB 04	
DETENSE (I						TED 04	
3. Installation a	and Location:			4. Project Title			
NAVAL AIR STATION OCEANA, VIRGINIA  BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK							
5. Program Ele	ement	6. Category Code	7. Proj	ect Number	8. Project Cost (\$	5000)	
7011	111S	411	D	ESC0601		3,589	
		l of a new fuel storage tank or Director, Defense Logistics A				native. This project meets all considered for joint-use	
		ts, operational consideration	ıs, and lo	cation are inco	mpatible with use	by the other components.	
12. Supplement							
A. Estimated	Design Data:						
1. Status (a) Da	ata Dagian Stante	ad.				01/03	
, ,	ate Design Starte	ed. Estimate Used to Develop Cos	ete (Vac/	No)·		NO	
			SIS (1 CS/.	NO).		35	
	ate Design Com	10/04					
	ype of Design Co				Design/Bi	id/Build	
2. Basis							
	tandard or Defini					YES	
(b) D	ate Design was l	Most Recently Used:				09/02	
		b) or (d)+(e) (\$000)					
		ns and Specifications				115	
	ll Other Design	Costs				75	
( - )	otal					190	
()	ontract					150	
(e) In	-House					40	
4. Contrac	t Award					01/05	
<ol><li>Constru</li></ol>						02/05	
6. Constru	ction Completio	on				04/06	
B. Equipment	associated with	this project that will be prov	vided fro	m other approp	oriations: None		

1. COMPONENT									2. DATE		
DESERVAÇÃO (DI A)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM									EED AA	
DEFENSE (DLA)  3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATION 4. COMMAND								5 AREA	FEB 04 5. AREA CONSTRUCTION	
			4. COM							COST INDEX	
MISAWA AIR BASE, J.	APAN	PAN DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY						1.68			
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	OFF PE	ERMANEN ENL	NT CIV	OFF	STUDENTS	CIV		SUPPORTED ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
Tenant of USAF a. AS OF	UFF	ENL	CIV	UFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	-	
b. END FY		<u> </u>	<u> </u>								
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) A. TOTAL ACREAGE											
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	OF										
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET	IN INVEN									26,400	
D. AUTHORIZATION REQUI				CDAM						19,900	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE			NG PROC	JKAM							
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC		,									
H. GRAND TOTAL										46,300	
8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T CATEGORY PROJE		RAM:	·				COST	DI	ESIGN	STATUS	
CODE NUMB	<u>BE</u> R			JECT TITL	<u> </u>		<u>(\$000)</u>	<u>S</u>	<u> FART</u>	<b>COMPLETE</b>	
121 DESCO	)503		Hydran	nt Fuel Sys	stem		19,900	C	01/03	06/04	
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:											
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING I	PROGRAM	ĺ									
CATEGORY CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE					COST 000)	
									7.4	<u>0007</u>	
None											
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS										
CATEGORY CODE				<u>PROJ</u>	JECT TITLE					COST 000)	
										0007	
None											
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC											
These fuel facilities provide		storage a	and distrib	bution sy	stems to si	upport th	e mission	s of assigne	ed units a	nd transient	
aircraft at Misawa Air Base,	Japan.										
Deferred sustainment, restor	ration, and	d modern	ization fo	or fuel fac	cilities at tl	nis locatio	on is \$1.1	million			
2011-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	,						<b>01</b> 2 22 ,				
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAF	ETY DEF	ICIENCIES	<b>;</b> :							
A. AIR POLLUTION						0					
B. WATER POLLUTION						0					
	U										
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFE	ETY AND I	IEALTH				0					

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	2. Date	EB 04					
3. Installation and Locat	ion		t Title				
MISAWA AIR BAS	SE, JAPAN			HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM			
5. Program Element	6. Category Code	7. Project Number	8. Projec	et Cost (\$00	00)		
701111S	121	DESC0503			19	,900	
		9. COST E	STIMATES				
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)
PRIMARY FACILITIES HYDRANT OUTLETS OPERATING FUEL T PUMPHOUSES AND TRUCK FILLSTAND PANTOGRAPHS ANI PIG LAUNCH AND R FUEL TRANSFER PII SUPPORTING FACILI' SITE PREPARATION MECHANICAL AND GENERATOR AND E		LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	- - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - -	12,350 (2,000) (3,235) (3,450) (750) (1,120) (375) (1,420) 5,430 (4,000) (1,100) (330)		
				-	-	-	17,780 <u>889</u>
ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%)					-	-	18,669 <u>1,213</u>
				- -	-	-	19,882 19,900
Currency Exchange Rate	: 125.49 ¥/\$						

**10. Description of Proposed Construction:** Construct a pressurized hydrant fuel system with 9 hydrant outlets; two 1,590-kiloliter (kL) (10,000-barrel) cut-and-cover fuel storage tanks, each with a 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per minute) pumphouse; fuel filter/separator facility; truck fillstands; hydrant hose truck checkout/pantograph flush station; product recovery system; pantographs and storage building; pig launch and recovery stations; and transfer pipeline. Work includes all necessary pumps, valves, filters, control systems, cathodic protection, automatic tank gauging, fire protection, emergency generator and enclosure, utility and sewer connections, access pavements, fencing, and security lighting. Site preparation includes extensive clearing and earthwork. Demolish small structure on site to make way for new construction.

11. REQUIREMENT: 9 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 0 OL SUBSTANDARD: 0 OL

PROJECT: Construct a modern pressurized hydrant fuel system and fuel transfer pipeline. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to construct a modern hydrant fuel system in the northern Japan region to meet strategic mobility requirements for contingency and operation plans. Faster refueling of wide-bodied aircraft by a hydrant fuel system is needed to meet stringent aircraft sortie rates required in these plans. The current method of refueling these aircraft by refueler trucks is too slow. This project provides a system of nine refueling outlets for C-5 aircraft and a fuel transfer pipeline connecting the systems's operating storage tanks with the bulk fuel storage facility on base. This project must be conjunctively funded with a proposed Air Force military construction project to expand the airfield apron for two additional aircraft parking positions, also programmed for FY 2005.

CURRENT SITUATION: The refueling of wide-bodied aircraft at Misawa is accomplished by refueler trucks, typically requiring 5-6 truckloads and up to 4-6 hours per aircraft, versus 1 hour by hydrant operations. Current work force and refueling truck capabilities do not meet mission requirements in operation plans.

1. Component					2. Date		
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY C	FEB 04					
			T				
3. Installation and Locati	ocation: 4. Project Title						
MISAWA AIR BASE, JAPAN  HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM							
5. Program Element	6. Category Code 7. Project Number 8. Project Cost (\$000)						
7011118	121	Г	DESC0503	19,900			
IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the continued refueling of large aircraft by trucks will jeopardize							

IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the continued refueling of large aircraft by trucks will jeopardize Misawa Air Base's ability to meet aircraft-sortic cycle times in support of contingency and operations plans. The safety of personnel operating and maintaining overburdened equipment during these high-demand periods will be imperiled.

ADDITIONAL: This project is ineligible for Japanese Facilities Improvement Program (JFIP) funding because it will add to the offensive operational capability of Misawa Air Base. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by the other components.

#### 12. Supplemental Data:

- A. Estimated Design Data:
  - 1. Status

(a)	Date Design Started:	01/03
(b)	Parametric Cost Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):	NO
(c)	Percent Completed as of January 2004:	60
(d)	Date 35 Percent Completed:	06/03
(e)	Date Design Complete:	06/04
(f)	Type of Design Contract:	Design/Bid/Build

2. Basis

(a)	Standard or Definitive Design:	YES
(b)	Date Design was Most Recently Used:	09/02
. Tota	al Cost $(c) = (a)+(b)$ or $(d)+(e)$ (\$000)	

(a)	Production of Plans and Specifications
(b)	All Other Design Costs
(c)	Total
(d)	Contract
(e)	In-House

4. Contract Award	12/04
5. Construction Start	01/05
6. Construction Completion	01/07

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

970 645 1,615 0 1,615

1. COMPONENT								2. DATE		
DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM						FEB 04			
3. INSTALLATION AND LOCA	ATIONS 4. COMMAND						5. AREA	CONSTRUCTION		
LAJES FIELD, AZORE								COST INDEX 1.30		
6. PERSONNEL STRENGTH	PERMANEN	TT	I	STUDENTS	7	I	SUPPORTEI		TOTAL	
Tenant of USAF	OFF ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	OFF	ENL	CIV	TOTAL	
a. AS OF										
b. END FY										
7. INVENTORY DATA (\$000) A. TOTAL ACREAGE										
B. INVENTORY TOTAL AS	OF									
C. AUTHORIZED NOT YET										
D. AUTHORIZATION REQU		OGRAM							19,113	
E. AUTHORIZATION INCLU		NG PROC	GRAM						0	
F. PLANNED IN NEXT THRE									1,400	
G. REMAINING DEFICIENC	CY								20.512	
H. GRAND TOTAL  8. PROJECTS REQUESTED IN T	THIS PROGRAM:								20,513	
CATEGORY PROJE		DDOI	ECT TITL			COST	D	ESIGN	STATUS	
CODE NUMB			ECT TITL			<u>(\$000)</u>		TART_	COMPLETE	
121 DESCO	)404 R	eplace Hy	drant Fue	System		19,113	(	07/01	03/04	
9. FUTURE PROJECTS:	DDOCD AM									
a. INCLUDED IN FOLLOWING : CATEGORY	PROGRAM							(	COST	
CATEGORY PROJECT TITLE  CODE								<u>6000)</u>		
N										
None										
b. PLANNED IN NEXT THREE Y	YEARS									
CATEGORY CODE			<u>PROJ</u>	ECT TITLE					COST	
CODE								(4	<u>6000)</u>	
Fuels Operations Facility (FY 2008)						1	,400			
10. MISSION OR MAJOR FUNC	TION									
These fuel facilities provide		and distrib	nution sv	stems to si	innort the	mission	s of assigne	ed units at	t Laies Field	
Azores, and other transient a		ina aistin	oution sy.	stems to st	apport the	7 1111331011	o or assigne	od units a	Lajes i ieia,	
Deferred sustainment, restor	ration, and modern	ization fo	r fuel fac	ilities at th	nis locatio	on is \$14.	8 million.			
11. OUTSTANDING POLLUTION	N AND SAFETY DEF	ICIENCIES	:							
A. AIR POLLUTION										
A. AIR POLLUTION	0									
B. WATER POLLUTION	0									
C. OCCUPATIONAL SAFE	FETY AND HEALTH 0									
					~					

1. Component DEFENSE (DLA)	FY 2005 M	2. Date	2. Date FEB 04						
3. Installation and Location 4. Project									
LAJES FIELD, AZORES					REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM				
5. Program Element 6. Category Code 7. Project Number 8. Project				ect Cost (\$000)					
702976S	121	DESC0404	STIMATES	19,113					
		9. COST E	SIIWIAIES			1	- (A000)		
	Item			U/M	Quantity	Unit Cost	Cost (\$000)		
HYDRANT OUTLETS OPERATING FUEL T PUMPHOUSE TRUCK FILLSTAND PANTOGRAPHS ANI SUPPORTING FACILI SITE PREPARATION MECHANICAL AND DEMOLITION GENERATOR AND E	S AND FUEL PIPING ANKS (3,180 kL/ 20, 	G (9 OUTLETS)  000 BARRELS)  ANT TRUCK CHECKOUTER  ENTS  ITIES  DRT INFORMATION	Γ	LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS LS	- - - - - - - - -	- - - - - - - - - -	15,100 (7,800) (2,600) (3,000) (900) (800) 1,992 (767) (565) (300) (160) (200)		
SUBTOTAL CONTINGENCY (5%)  ESTIMATED CONTRACT COST SUPERVISION, INSPECTION & OVERHEAD (SIOH) (6.5%)					- - -		17,092 <u>855</u> 17,947 <u>1,166</u>		
TOTAL REQUEST Currency Exchange Rate:			-	-	-	19,113			

10. Description of Proposed Construction: Provide one 152 liter-per-second (2,400 gallon-per-minute) pumphouse, nine hydrant fuel outlets, two 1,590-kiloliter (kL)(10,000-barrel) aboveground operating tanks, distribution piping, truck fillstand, and checkout stand for hydrant hose trucks. Work includes cathodic protection systems, leak detection, automatic tank gauging, fire protection, fire hydrants, utility connections, oil/water separator, emergency generator, secondary containment systems, perimeter fencing, and security lighting. Cross connect fuel distribution piping to existing 18-outlet hydrant system. Provide four pantographs, two high-reach units, and a pantograph storage shelter. Demolish existing pumphouse, underground storage tanks, and hydrant outlets. Provide operations and maintenance support information.

11. REQUIREMENT: 27 Outlets (OL) ADEQUATE: 18 OL SUBSTANDARD: 7 OL

PROJECT: Replace a deteriorated hydrant fuel system with a modern pressurized fuel system. (C)

REQUIREMENT: There is a need to provide a functioning hydrant fuel system for wide-bodied aircraft supporting strategic mobility requirements and operations plans in the Atlantic. This 9-outlet system will replace a hydrant system that has failed and cannot support peacetime missions or en route mobility requirements in contingency or wartime operations. Lajes Field supports the Expeditionary Air Force concept and provides ground and in-flight refueling for aircraft transiting the Atlantic. It also provides a base of operations for humanitarian relief missions. This project provides the second of two hydrant fuel systems needed to meet the total requirement of 27 hydrants. The previous system was approved in the FY 1999 DLA MILCON program

CURRENT SITUATION: There is only one functional hydrant fuel system at Lajes Field. An existing 7-hydrant outlet system has been taken out of service due to environmental protection concerns and interference with airfield communications and radar upgrades. The area serviced by this hydrant system can no longer be used for aircraft parking. The current operational hydrant fuel system cannot support expected refueling demands during wartime scenarios. This situation leaves the proposed project site as the

1. Componen	t					2. Date	
DEFENSE	ECT DATA	FEB 04					
3. Installation	and Location:			4. Project Title			
	LAJES FIELD, AZORES  REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM						
5. Program E	gram Element 6. Category Code 7. Project Number 8. Project Cost			8. Project Cost (\$	(\$000)		
702	2976S	121	ı	DESC0404 19,113			
only remaining area to park wide-bodied aircraft. When large-frame aircraft are located at parking locations without hydrant capability, they must be serviced by refueling trucks. Because of the distances the refuelers must travel between aircraft and truck fillstands, they cannot provide the necessary fuel support in the required one-hour refueling time.  IMPACT IF NOT PROVIDED: If this project is not provided, the refueling operations at Lajes Field will be severely hampered if the only existing hydrant fuel system were to fail. The resulting lack of hydrant refueling capability would increase aircraft refueling time, impacting personnel, cargo, and weapons movement to various theaters of operations.  ADDITIONAL: This project is not eligible for NATO Security Investment Program funding because of the terms of the 1984 Technical Agreement between the United State and Portugal, which governs the use of Lajes Field. An analysis of the status quo, refueling by truck, or constructing the proposed hydrant system concluded that replacement of the existing system is the only feasible alternative to accomplish the refueling mission. This project meets all applicable DoD criteria. The Director, Defense Logistics Agency, certifies that this facility has been considered for joint-use potential. Mission requirements, operational considerations, and location are incompatible with use by other components.							
12. Suppleme							
A. Estimated 1. Status	l Design Data:						
	Date Design Start	ed:				07/01	
		t Estimate Used to Develop Costs (Yes/No):  NO					
		ercent Completed as of January 2004:					
	Date 35 Percent C					07/02	
	Date Design Com					03/04	
(f) Type of Design Contract: Design/Bid/Build							
2. Basis							
(a) S	(a) Standard or Definitive Design: YES						

B. Equipment associated with this project that will be provided from other appropriations: None

Date Design was Most Recently Used:

3. Total Cost (c) = (a)+(b) or (d)+(e) (\$000) (a) Production of Plans and Specifications

All Other Design Costs

Point of Contact is Thomas P. Barba at 703-767-3534

09/02

670

450

900

220

12/04

01/05

07/06

1,120

(b)

(b)

(c)

(d)

Total

4. Contract Award

5. Construction Start

Contract

In-House

6. Construction Completion